

# Education and Training Inspectorate

## PRIMARY INSPECTION



Sullivan Upper School Preparatory Department, Hollywood,  
County Down

Voluntary preparatory, co-educational DE Ref No (462-0044)

Report of an Inspection (Involving Action Short of Strike) in June 2019



The Education and Training Inspectorate  
Promoting Improvement

Providing inspection services for:

Department of Education  
Department for the Economy  
and other commissioning Departments

CUSTOMER  
SERVICE  
EXCELLENCE



## CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
1. Context	1
2. Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses	1
3. Focus of the inspection	2
4. Overall findings of the inspection	2
5. Outcomes for learners	2
6. Quality of provision	2
7. Leadership and management	3
8. Safeguarding	3
9. Overall effectiveness	3

### APPENDICES

- A. Health and safety/Accommodation
- B. Inspection methodology and evidence base
- C. Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

## INTRODUCTION

### 1. Context

The Preparatory Department of Sullivan Upper School is located in Holywood; the children have access to the post-primary school and its facilities. It is a voluntary, co-educational preparatory school with almost all the children attending from the local town. The school has achieved a number of awards, including the Eco-school Green Flag and the International School Award.

Four of the teaching unions which make up the Northern Ireland Teachers' Council (NITC) have declared industrial action primarily in relation to a pay dispute. This includes non-co-operation with the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI). Prior to the inspection, the school informed the ETI that none of the teachers would be co-operating with the inspectors. The leadership co-operated with the inspection team in relation to leadership and safeguarding responsibilities. The ETI has a statutory duty to monitor, inspect and report on the quality of education under Article 102 of the Education and Libraries (Northern Ireland) Order 1986. Therefore, the inspection proceeded and the following evaluations are based on the evidence as made available at the time of the inspection.

Sullivan Upper Preparatory Department	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Enrolment	187	188	191	186
% School attendance	97.4	97.4	96.9	N/A
% NI Primary school average	95.5	95.5	94.9	N/A
FSME Percentage <sup>1</sup>	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
No. of children on SEN register	60	55	48	61
% of children on SEN register	32.1	29.3	25.1	32.8
No. of children with statements of educational need	*	*	*	*

**Source:** data as held by the school.

\* fewer than 5

N/A not available

### 2. Children's, parents' and staff questionnaire responses

Twenty percent of parents responded to the online, confidential questionnaire. The responses from almost all of the parents indicated high levels of satisfaction with all aspects of the life and work of the school. In the written comments, the parents praised, in particular, the commitment of staff to developing the children's academic ability, and their support for the children's all-round development and well-being. The staff were given the opportunity to complete the online questionnaire, however no responses were made. The responses to the year 7 online children's questionnaire indicated that they enjoyed the range of activities available to them and were appreciative of the high level of pastoral and academic support provided to them by the staff.

The ETI has communicated to the principal and representatives of the board of governors the main findings, and any individual issues arising, from the questionnaires.

---

<sup>1</sup> The term 'FSME Percentage' refers to the percentage of children entitled to free school meals.

### 3. Focus of the inspection

The ETI was unable to evaluate:

- outcomes for children with a particular focus on numeracy and literacy;
- quality of provision with a particular focus on numeracy and literacy including across the curriculum; and
- quality of leadership and management.

### 4. Overall findings of the inspection

<b>Overall effectiveness</b>	Unable to assure the quality of education
<b>Outcomes for learners</b>	No performance level available
<b>Quality of provision</b>	No performance level available
<b>Leadership and management</b>	No performance level available

## KEY FINDINGS

### 5. Outcomes for learners

- The inspectors met with a group of year 7 children who read fluently, confidently and with high levels of interest. They could explain more complex vocabulary and authors' use of language. The children also reported the importance of their role as 'reading buddies' in developing the reading enthusiasm and skills of the younger children. A group of year 7 children were able to solve problems confidently and use mathematical language accurately.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the learning outcomes for the children, including those who require additional support with aspects of their learning;
- progression in the children's learning; and
- the children's wider skills and dispositions.

### 6. Quality of provision

- In discussions with groups of year 6 and year 7 children, they spoke very positively about their school experiences including the range of outings and residential trips, and their opportunities to develop leadership skills through, for example, the School- and Eco-Councils along with participation in the children's 'buddy' and school house systems.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the quality of the curriculum;
- the effectiveness of the guidance and support in bringing about high quality individual learning experiences;

- the effectiveness and impact of planning, teaching, learning and assessment in promoting successful learning; and
- care and welfare.

## **7. Leadership and management**

- The school development plan is underpinned by wide consultation with all stakeholders. The school's progress in meeting the targets within the action plans is monitored and evaluated regularly to inform the future improvement priorities.
- The governors are highly supportive of the life and work of the school and are well-informed of the strengths and areas for further development. They reported that they execute appropriately their support and challenge functions.

The ETI was unable to evaluate fully:

- the effectiveness of strategic leadership and governance;
- the effectiveness and impact of middle leadership; and
- the effectiveness of action to promote and sustain improvement.

## **8. Safeguarding**

During the inspection, the school provided evidence that the arrangements for safeguarding children reflect broadly the guidance from the Department of Education. In discussion with a group of year 6 children, they reported that they feel safe in school and are aware of what to do if they have any concerns about their safety or well-being. They know how to stay safe online at school and at home when using a range of mobile technologies. However, owing to the action short of strike, the ETI was unable to evaluate fully the outworking of the arrangements for safeguarding in the school.

## **9. Overall effectiveness**

Owing to the impact of the action short of strike being taken by the staff, the ETI is unable to assure parents/carers, the wider school community and stakeholders of the quality of education being provided for the children. This will be reflected in future inspection activity.

**Health and safety/Accommodation**

1. While the school has carried out a risk assessment and implemented risk reduction measures, the works planned for the main access point to the preparatory department site need to be completed.

### Inspection methodology and evidence base

The ETI's Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework is available on the ETI website: [The Inspection and Self-Evaluation Framework \(ISEF\): Effective Practice and Self-Evaluation Questions for Primary | Education Training Inspectorate](#)

Inspectors observe learning and teaching, scrutinise documentation and the children's written work and hold formal and informal discussions with children, teachers and staff with specific responsibilities.

The arrangements for inspection include:

- a meeting with representative from the governors;
- meetings with groups of children; and
- the opportunity for the children, parents, teaching and support staff to complete an online, confidential questionnaire.

Where, owing to the action short of strike, this evidence base was not available, it has been referenced in the body of the inspection report.

The arrangements for this inspection included:

- meetings with the leadership, representatives from the governors and groups of children;
- review of the school development plan and associated action plans;
- review of safeguarding documentation and relevant policies; and
- the opportunity for the children, parents, teaching and support staff to complete an online, confidential questionnaire.

## Reporting terms used by the Education and Training Inspectorate

### Quantitative terms

In this report, proportions may be described as percentages, common fractions and in more general quantitative terms. Where more general terms are used, they should be interpreted as follows:

Almost/nearly all	-	more than 90%
Most	-	75% - 90%
A majority	-	50% - 74%
A significant minority	-	30% - 49%
A minority	-	10% - 29%
Very few/a small number	-	less than 10%

### Performance levels

The ETI use the following performance levels when reporting on outcomes for learners, quality of provision and leadership and management<sup>2</sup>:

Outstanding
Very good
Good
Important area(s) for improvement
Requires significant improvement
Requires urgent improvement

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on governance:

High degree of confidence
Confidence
Limited confidence

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on safeguarding:

Reflects the guidance
Reflects broadly the guidance
Unsatisfactory

The ETI use the following levels when reporting on care and welfare:

Impacts positively on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.
Does not impact positively enough on learning, teaching and outcomes for learners.

<sup>2</sup> And the overall provision in a unit, as applicable.

## Overall effectiveness

The ETI use one of the following inspection outcomes when evaluating the overall effectiveness of the school:

The school has a high level of capacity for sustained improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.
The school demonstrates the capacity to identify and bring about improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor how the school sustains improvement.
The school needs to address (an) important area(s) for improvement in the interest of all the learners. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the area(s) for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 12 to 18 months.
The school needs to address urgently the significant areas for improvement identified in the interest of all the learners. It requires external support to do so. The ETI will monitor and report on the school's progress in addressing the areas for improvement. There will be a formal follow-up inspection in 18 to 24 months.

© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2019

This report may be reproduced in whole or in part, except for commercial purposes or in connection with a prospectus or advertisement, provided that the source and date thereof are stated.

Copies of this report are available on the ETI website: [www.etini.gov.uk](http://www.etini.gov.uk)